

24 JUN 2010



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

ALFRED J MANGELS  
4729 CORNELL ROAD  
CINCINNATI, OH 45241-2433

In re Application of	:	
LEWIN, THOMAS	:	
Application No.: 10/540,679	:	DECISION
PCT No.: PCT/SE2003/001886	:	
Int. Filing Date: 04 December 2003	:	
Priority Date: 23 December 2002	:	
Attorney Docket No.: 1814	:	
For: METHOD TO SUPPLY CURRENT TO	:	
A TUBE OF FURNACE	:	

This decision is in response to applicant's "RENEWED REQUEST FOR FEE REFUND" filed 25 March 2010 to request the refund of the petition fee that accompanied the petition to revive the instant application filed on 25 March 2008. No petition fee is due.

**BACKGROUND**

On 04 December 2003, applicant filed international application PCT/SE2003/001886, which claimed priority of an earlier application filed 23 December 2002. The thirty-month period for paying the basic national fee in the United States expired on 23 June 2005.

On 23 June 2005, applicant filed national stage papers in the United States Designated/Elected (DO/EO/US). The submission was accompanied by, inter alia, an authorization to charge applicant's deposit account for the basic national fee required by 35 U.S.C. 371 (c)(1).

On 17 January 2008, the DO/EO/US mailed a Notification of Abandonment (Form PCT/DO/EO/909), which indicated that applicant failed to respond to Notification of Missing Requirements (PCT/DO/EO/905).

On 25 March 2008, applicant filed a reply to the abandonment, a petition to revive the application and an authorization to charge the petition fee.

Application No.: 10/540,679

On 29 September 2008, a petition decision was issued to vacate the Notification of Abandonment and dismissed the petition to revive the application as Moot.

On 25 March 2010, applicant filed the present petition to request for refund of the petition fee.

### DISCUSSION

A review of the file indicated that the petition fee was charged to the deposit account on 25 March 2008. However, the charge has not been refunded to applicant's account. Accordingly, a refund of \$1540 is appropriate.

### CONCLUSION

For the reason detailed above, applicant's petition for refund is GRANTED.

As such, applicant is due a refund of \$1540. Accordingly, applicant's deposit account No. 50-1300 has been credited in the amount of \$1540.

Shian Luong  
Special Programs Examiner  
Office of PCT Legal Administration  
Tel: (571) 272-4557

  
Bryan Lin  
PCT Legal Examiner  
Office of PCT Legal Administration